arXiv:1204.0289v1 [math.OA] 2 Apr 2012

FREE EVOLUTION ON ALGEBRAS WITH TWO STATES II

MICHAEL ANSHELEVICH

ABSTRACT. Denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the operator of coefficient stripping. We show that for any free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$ with finite variance, applying a single stripping produces semicircular evolution with non-zero initial condition, $\mathcal{J}[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t}$, where $\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}$ is the semicircular distribution with mean β and variance γ. For more general freely infinitely divisible distributions τ, expressions of the form $\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$ arise from stripping $\widetilde{\mu}_t$, where $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ form a semigroup under the operation of two-state free convolution. The converse to this statement holds in the algebraic setting. Numerous examples illustrating these constructions are computed. Additional results include the formula for generators of such semigroups.

1. INTRODUCTION

A probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ all of whose moments are finite can be described by two sequences of Jacobi parameters:

$$J(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0, & \beta_1, & \beta_2, & \beta_3, & \dots \\ \gamma_0, & \gamma_1, & \gamma_2, & \gamma_3, & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

For example, its Cauchy transform

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z-x}\, d\mu(x)$$

(which determines the measure) has the continued fraction expansion

$$G_\mu(z) = \cfrac{1}{z - \beta_0 - \cfrac{\gamma_0}{z - \beta_1 - \cfrac{\gamma_1}{z - \beta_2 - \cfrac{\gamma_2}{z - \beta_3 - \cfrac{\gamma_3}{z - \dots}}}}}$$

Define new measures $\Phi[\mu]$ and $\mathcal{J}[\mu]$ by the right and left shifts on Jacobi parameters:

$$J(\Phi[\mu]) = \begin{pmatrix} 0, & \beta_0, & \beta_1, & \beta_2, & \dots \\ 1, & \gamma_0, & \gamma_1, & \gamma_2, & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$J(\mathcal{J}[\mu]) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1, & \beta_2, & \beta_3, & \beta_4, & \dots \\ \gamma_1, & \gamma_2, & \gamma_3, & \gamma_4, & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Date: March 2, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L54.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0900935.

$\mathcal{J}$ is sometimes called coefficient stripping. Actually, both Φ and $\mathcal{J}$ can be defined more generally: Φ for any probability measure, and $\mathcal{J}$ for any probability measure with finite variance. See Definition 2.

Let

$$d\sigma_{\beta,\gamma}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi\gamma}\sqrt{4\gamma - (x-\beta)^2}\,dx$$

be the semicircular distribution with mean β and variance γ, and $\boxplus$ the operation of free convolution. The semicircular family $\left\{\sigma_{\beta t,\gamma t} = \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t} : t \geq 0\right\}$ forms a free convolution semigroup. General free convolution semigroups

$$\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$$

with mean zero and variance t are indexed by probability measures ρ. In Proposition 9 of [Ans11a], we showed that for any such free convolution semigroup,

$$\mathcal{J}[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{0,1}^{\boxplus t},$$

so that the "once-stripped" free convolution semigroup is always a "free heat evolution" started at ρ. Needless to say, this statement has no analog for semigroups with respect to usual convolution. In the first result of the paper, we extend this formula to the case of general finite variance: for a free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}$ with mean βt and non-zero variance γt,

$$\mathcal{J}[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t}. \tag{1}$$

Since any free convolution semigroup, when stripped, always gives a semicircular evolution, it is natural to ask for which families of measures $\{\widetilde{\mu}_t : t \geq 0\}$ is

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t} \tag{2}$$

for other measures τ. The main result of the article is that if this is the case, there exists a free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$ such that the family of pairs of measures $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ form a semigroup under the operation $\boxplus_c$ of two-state free convolution. Note that formula (2) can be assigned a meaning even if τ is not freely infinitely divisible, for example when $\widetilde{\rho} = \nu \boxplus \tau$ for some ν; for every such τ, ν there exists a family of measures forming the first component of the two-state free convolution semigroup such that

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \nu \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus(1+t)}$$

(recall that in free probability, $\tau^{\boxplus(1+t)}$ is well defined for any τ as long as $t \geq 0$). More generally, for some semigroups $\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \nu \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(1+t/p)}$, while $\tau = \omega^{\boxplus(1/p)}$ is not a positive measure. It is unclear at this point whether every two-state free convolution semigroup (with finite variance) is of this form. Nevertheless, a large group of examples fit into this framework: free convolution semigroups, Boolean convolution semigroups, two-state free Brownian motions, and two-state free Meixner distributions. Moreover, in the last section of the paper we show that in the algebraic setting, when $(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t)$ are linear functionals on polynomials but do not necessarily come from positive measures, formula (2) does always hold for some (not necessarily positive) τ. In that section we also prove a basic formula for the moment generating function of the multivariate subordination distribution (see below), which really belongs on the long list of properties of that distribution proven in [Nic09].

The other aspects of two-state free convolution semigroups are investigated at the end of Section 3. We compute the two-state version of Voiculescu's evolution equation for the Cauchy transform. Then we combine it with the preceding results to find the formula for the generators of two-state free convolution semigroups with finite variance.

Finally, we would like to explain the connection between this article and part I of the same title [Ans10]. In [BN08, BN09], Belinschi and Nica proved that for a certain family of transformations $\{\mathbb{B}_t : t \geq 0\}$, one has

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\Phi[\rho]] = \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus t}]. \tag{3}$$

Equation (1) follows from this observation after only a small amount of work. In part I, we constructed a two-variable map $\Phi[\cdot, \cdot]$ and proved that

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\Phi[\tau, \widetilde{\rho}]] = \Phi[\tau, \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}]. \tag{4}$$

Moreover, the transformation $\Phi[\cdot, \cdot]$ as defined in [Ans10] also comes from two-state free probability theory. In [Nic09], Nica observed that $\Phi[\tau, \widetilde{\rho}]$ is closely related to the subordination distribution $\tau \boxright \widetilde{\rho}$, which is a more important object in free probability, and so will be used in computations in this paper.

At this point the evolution formula (4) is only proven for measures all of whose moments are finite, while we are interested in a more general class of measures with finite variance. Moreover, the derivation of (1) from (3) does not generalize to a derivation of (2) from (4); the proof of (2) is quite different. Nevertheless, both this article and part I involve two-state free probability theory, and generalization of semicircular evolution to more general free convolution semigroups.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Dan Voiculescu for asking the question which led to Proposition 3, to Hari Bercovici for Lemma 6, and to Wojtek Młotkowski for useful discussions.

2. BACKGROUND

Notation 1. Denote $m[\mu]$ and $\mathrm{Var}[\mu]$ the mean and variance of μ,

$$\mathcal{P} = \{\text{probability measures on } \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P} : \mathrm{Var}[\mu] < \infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{0,p} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2 : m[\mu] = 0, \mathrm{Var}[\mu] = p\},$$

$$\mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus} = \{\mu \in \mathcal{P} : \mu \boxplus\text{-infinitely divisible}\}.$$

For a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, denote its Cauchy transform

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z - x} \, d\mu(x),$$

and

$$F_\mu(z) = \frac{1}{G_\mu(z)}$$

(for a function f, f^{-1} will denote its compositional rather than a multiplicative inverse).

2.1. Free, two-state free, and Boolean convolutions.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, define its Voiculescu transform ϕ_μ by

$$(\phi_\mu \circ F_\mu)(z) + F_\mu(z) = z$$

See [BV93, VDN92]. The free convolution of two measures $\mu \boxplus \nu$ is determined by the equality

$$\phi_{\mu \boxplus \nu} = \phi_\mu + \phi_\nu$$

on a domain. A measure μ is $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible if $\mu = \mu_1$ for a free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$, for which

$$\mu_t \boxplus \mu_s = \mu_{t+s}.$$

In this case we denote $\mu_t = \mu^{\boxplus t}$. A fundamental result in [NS96], extended to measures with unbounded support in [BB04], is that for any μ, $\mu^{\boxplus t}$ is defined for $t \geq 1$.

We will refer to the set

$$\{(\beta, \gamma, \rho) : \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma > 0, \rho \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

as *canonical triples*. By a result of Maassen [Maa92], $\boxplus$-convolution semigroups with non-zero, finite variance

$$\{\mu_t : t \geq 0, 0 < \mathrm{Var}[\mu_1] < \infty\}$$

are in bijection with canonical triples, the bijection being given by

$$\phi_{\mu_t}(z) = \beta t + \gamma t G_\rho(z). \tag{5}$$

Here $\beta = m[\mu_1]$ and $\gamma = \mathrm{Var}[\mu_1]$. $\boxplus$-convolution semigroups with zero variance are of the form $\mu_t = \delta_{\beta t}$, and so correspond to $(\beta, 0, \cdot)$ with $\gamma = 0$ and ρ undefined.

Similarly, for $\widetilde{\mu}, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$, define the two-state Voiculescu transform $\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu}$ by

$$(\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu} \circ F_\mu)(z) + F_{\widetilde{\mu}}(z) = z. \tag{6}$$

See [Kry07, Wan11]. The two-state free convolution of two pairs of measures

$$(\rho, \mu \boxplus \nu) = (\widetilde{\mu}, \mu) \boxplus_c (\widetilde{\nu}, \nu)$$

is determined by the equality

$$\phi_{\rho, \mu \boxplus \nu} = \phi_{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu} + \phi_{\widetilde{\nu}, \nu}$$

on a domain. The pair $(\widetilde{\mu}, \mu)$ is $\boxplus_c$-infinitely divisible if $(\widetilde{\mu}, \mu) = (\widetilde{\mu}_1, \mu_1)$ for a two-state free convolution semigroup $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$, for which

$$(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) \boxplus_c (\widetilde{\mu}_s, \mu_s) = (\widetilde{\mu}_{t+s}, \mu_{t+s}).$$

In this case we denote $(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) = (\widetilde{\mu}, \mu)^{\boxplus_c t}$.

For a fixed free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$, the $\boxplus_c$-convolution semigroups such that $\widetilde{\mu}_t$ has non-zero, finite variance are in bijection with canonical triples $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\rho})$, the bijection being given by

$$\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t}(z) = \widetilde{\beta} t + \widetilde{\gamma} t G_{\widetilde{\rho}}(z).$$

Here $\widetilde{\beta} = m[\widetilde{\mu}_1]$ and $\widetilde{\gamma} = \mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\mu}_1]$. This does not appear to be stated explicitly, but follows from the description of general two-state freely infinitely divisible distributions in Theorem 4.1 of [Wan11]. Again, the case $\mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\mu}_1] = 0$ can be included by setting $\widetilde{\gamma} = 0$ and leaving $\widetilde{\rho}$ undefined.

Finally, the Boolean convolution $\mu \uplus \nu$ is given by

$$(\mu, \delta_0) \boxplus_c (\nu, \delta_0) = (\mu \uplus \nu, \delta_0).$$

More explicitly, $\phi_{\mu,\delta_0}(z) = z - F_\mu(z)$, so

$$z - F_{\mu \uplus \nu}(z) = (z - F_\mu(z)) + (z - F_\nu(z)).$$

Any distribution is $\uplus$-infinitely divisible, so $\mu^{\uplus t}$ is always defined for any $t \geq 0$.

Definition 2. For measures all of whose moments are finite, transformations Φ and $\mathcal{J}$ were defined in the introduction. Here are the more general definitions. Φ is a bijection

$$\Phi : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{P}_{0,1}$$

defined by

$$F_{\Phi[\nu]}(z) = z - G_\nu(z),$$

see [BN08]. Conversely, for $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2$ with $m[\mu] = \beta$ and $\mathrm{Var}[\mu] = \gamma > 0$, define $\mathcal{J}[\mu]$ by

$$F_\mu(z) = z - \beta - \gamma G_{\mathcal{J}[\mu]}(z).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{P}_2 \to \mathcal{P},$$

$\mathcal{J} \circ \Phi = I$, and $\Phi \circ \mathcal{J} = I$ on $\mathcal{P}_{0,1}$.

Definition 3. Recall that all probability measures are infinitely divisible in the Boolean sense. The Boolean-to-free Bercovici-Pata bijection (see Section 6 in [BP99])

$$\mathbb{B} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$$

is defined by

$$\phi_{\mathbb{B}[\mu]}(z) = z - F_\mu(z).$$

More generally, define the Belinschi-Nica transformations [BN08] $\{\mathbb{B}_t : t \geq 0\}$ on $\mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\mu] = \left(\mu^{\boxplus(1+t)}\right)^{\uplus \frac{1}{1+t}}.$$

These transformations form a semigroup under composition, and $\mathbb{B}_1 = \mathbb{B}$.

Definition 4. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$, the subordination distribution [Len07, Nic09] $\mu \boxvdash \nu$ is the unique probability measure such that

$$G_{\mu \boxplus \nu}(z) = G_\nu(F_{\mu \boxvdash \nu}(z)).$$

If $\mu \boxvdash \nu \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$, define [Ans10]

$$\Phi[\mu, \nu] = \mathbb{B}^{-1}[\mu \boxvdash \nu].$$

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\phi_{\mu \boxvdash \nu}(z) = (\phi_\mu \circ F_\nu)(z).$$

Also, whenever $\Phi[\rho, \mu]$ *is defined,*

$$z - F_{\Phi[\mu,\nu]}(z) = (\phi_\mu \circ F_\nu)(z)$$

and

$$\phi_\mu = \phi_{\Phi[\mu,\nu],\nu}.$$

Proof. We compute

$$\phi_{\mu \boxminus \nu}(z) = F^{-1}_{\mu \boxminus \nu}(z) - z = (F^{-1}_{\mu \boxplus \nu} \circ F_\nu)(z) - z = (\phi_\mu \circ F_\nu)(z).$$

The second property follows by combining this with the definition of $\mathbb{B}$. Finally,

$$(\phi_\mu \circ F_\nu)(z) + F_{\Phi[\mu,\nu]}(z) = z$$

which implies the third property after comparison with equation (6). $\square$

The following result is the analog of Corollary 4.13 in [Nic09] for single-variable, unbounded distributions.

Lemma 2. *If* $\mu \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$*, or if* $\nu = \mu \boxplus \nu'$*, then* $\mu \boxminus \nu \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$*.*

Proof. If $\mu \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$, then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\phi_{\mu^{\boxplus t} \boxminus \nu}(z) = \phi_{\mu^{\boxplus t}}(F_\nu(z)) = t\phi_\mu(F_\nu(z)) = \phi_{(\mu \boxminus \nu)^{\boxplus t}}(z),$$

and so $(\mu \boxminus \nu)^{\boxplus t} = \mu^{\boxplus t} \boxminus \nu$ is well defined.

If $\nu = \mu \boxplus \nu'$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\mu \boxminus \nu}(z) &= \phi_{\mu \boxminus (\mu \boxplus \nu')}(z) = \phi_\mu(F_{\mu \boxplus \nu'}(z)) \\ &= z - F^{-1}_{\nu'}(F_{\mu \boxplus \nu'}(z)) = z - F_{\mu \boxminus \nu'}(z) = \phi_{\mathbb{B}[\mu \boxminus \nu']}(z),\end{aligned}$$

and so $\mu \boxminus \nu = \mathbb{B}[\mu \boxminus \nu'] \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$. $\square$

Lemma 3. *For* (β, γ, ρ) *a canonical triple and* $t \geq 0$*,*

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}] = \delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}]^{\uplus \gamma}.$$

Proof. The argument is a slight modification of Remark 4.4 (proof of Theorem 1.6) from [BN08]. Following that paper, denote by θ the subordination function of $\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}$ with respect to ρ, and by ω the subordination function of $(\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma})^{\boxplus(t+1)}$ with respect to $(\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma})$. On the one hand,

$$G_{\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z) = G_\rho(\theta(z))$$

and

$$z - F_{\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}}(z) = \beta + \gamma G_\rho(z).$$

Therefore

$$\theta(z) - F_{\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}}(\theta(z)) = \beta + \gamma G_{\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, denoting by $\widetilde{\theta}$ the subordination distribution of $\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}$ with respect to ρ, by equation (4.8) in [BN08],

$$\widetilde{\theta}(z) = z - \gamma t G_{\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z).$$

But

$$G_{\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z) = G_{\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z - \beta t) = G_\rho(\widetilde{\theta}(z - \beta t)) = G_\rho(\theta(z)).$$

Thus

$$\theta(z) = \widetilde{\theta}(z - \beta t) = z - \beta t - \gamma t G_{\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z - \beta t) = z - \beta t - \gamma t G_{\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}}(z).$$

Combining this with equation (7), we see that

$$t\theta(z) - tF_{\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}}(\theta(z)) = z - \theta(z)$$

and

$$\theta(z) = \frac{1}{t+1} z + \left(1 - \frac{1}{t+1}\right) F_{\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}}(\theta(z)).$$

Then (see [BN08]) it follows that $\theta = \omega$, and so the argument concludes as in that paper:

$$\begin{aligned} z - F_{\mathbb{B}_t[\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}]}(z) &= z - \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) z + \frac{1}{t} \omega(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t}(z - \omega(z)) = \frac{1}{t}(z - \theta(z)) \\ &= \beta + \gamma G_{\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\gamma t}}(z) = z - F_{\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}]^{\uplus \gamma}}(z). \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. SINGLE-VARIABLE, COMPLEX-ANALYTIC RESULTS

Proposition 1. *For any* (β, γ, ρ) *a canonical triple,*

$$\mu_t = \delta_{\beta t} \uplus \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus \gamma t}$$

form a free convolution semigroup with non-zero, finite variance, and any such semigroup is of this form. In particular,

$$\mathcal{J}[\mu_t] = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t}.$$

The first equation holds also in the case of zero variance $\gamma = 0$*.*

Proof. Note first that

$$\phi_{\mathbb{B}[\Phi[\rho]]}(z) = z - F_{\Phi[\rho]}(z) = G_\rho(z).$$

Thus using the Maassen representation (5), Belinschi-Nica transformations, the definition of $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{B}_1$, and Lemma 3, any free convolution semigroup with finite variance $\{\mu_t\}$ can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t &= \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\rho]]^{\boxplus \gamma t} \\ &= \mathbb{B}_{t-1}[\delta_\beta \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\rho]]^{\gamma}]^{\uplus t} \\ &= \mathbb{B}_t[\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}]^{\uplus t} \\ &= \delta_{\beta t} \uplus \Phi[\rho \boxplus \delta_{\beta t} \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus \gamma t}]^{\uplus \gamma t} \\ &= \delta_{\beta t} \uplus \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus \gamma t}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\gamma = 0$, we have $\mu_t = \delta_{\beta t} = \sigma_{\beta t, 0}$, so the equation still holds. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let* $\widetilde{\beta}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\widetilde{\gamma}, \gamma > 0$*.*

(a) *Let* $\tau \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ *and* $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}$*. Define*

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t} \uplus \Phi[\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t},$$

so that in particular

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}.$$

Then there exists a free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$ *such that* $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ *form a two-state free convolution semigroup. Namely, we take*

$$\mu = \tau \mathbin{\boxed{\vdash}} \widetilde{\rho}$$

and $\mu_t = \mu^{\boxplus t}$*.*

(b) *Let* $p > 0$ *and* $\omega, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$. *Define* $\widetilde{\rho} = \nu \boxplus \omega$,

$$\mu = (\omega \boxvdash \widetilde{\rho})^{\boxplus(1/p)}$$

and $\mu_t = \mu^{\boxplus t}$. *Then* $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ *form a two-state free convolution semigroup with finite variance, where*

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t} \uplus \Phi[\nu \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(1+(t/p))}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t},$$

so that in particular

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \nu \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(1+(t/p))}.$$

(c) *Conversely, let* $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ *be a general two-state free convolution semigroup such that* $\widetilde{\mu}_t$ *has finite variance, with the relative canonical triple* $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\rho})$. *Then*

$$\phi_{\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]}(z) = \phi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(z) + t(\phi_\mu \circ F_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{-1})(z).$$

If $\mu, \widetilde{\rho}$ *are compactly supported, there exists a linear, unital, not necessarily positive functional* τ *such that* $\phi_\tau = \phi_\mu \circ F_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{-1}$. *Note that* $\mathrm{Var}[\tau] = \mathrm{Var}[\mu] > 0$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t},$$

in the sense that

$$\phi_{\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]}(z) = \phi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(z) + t\phi_\tau(z)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. *Moreover,* $\omega = \tau^{\boxplus p} \in \mathcal{P}$ *for sufficiently large* p, *and*

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(t/p)},$$

again interpreted as equality of Voiculescu transforms.

Proof. By Lemma 2, under the assumption in (a) $\mu = \tau \boxvdash \widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$, while under the assumption in (b) $\mu = (\omega \boxvdash \widetilde{\rho})^{\boxplus(1/p)} \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$. We will prove the conclusion in part (b); the proof of (a) is similar. By Lemma 1,

$$\phi_\omega(z) = p(\phi_\mu \circ F_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{-1})(z).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t} \circ F_{\mu_t})(z) &= z - F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}(z) \\
&= \widetilde{\beta} t + \widetilde{\gamma} t G_{\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(t/p)}}(z) \\
&= \widetilde{\beta} t + \widetilde{\gamma} t \frac{1}{(F_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{-1} + (t/p)\phi_\omega)^{-1}(z)} \\
&= \widetilde{\beta} t + \widetilde{\gamma} t \frac{1}{((z + t\phi_\mu) \circ F_{\widetilde{\rho}}^{-1})^{-1}(z)} \\
&= t(\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}(G_{\widetilde{\rho}} \circ F_{\mu_t})(z)).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t}(z) = t(\widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma} G_{\widetilde{\rho}}(z)),$$

so $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ form a two-state free convolution semigroup with finite variance corresponding to the canonical triple $(\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\rho})$.

Conversely, under the assumptions of part (c), using (6)

$$z - F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}(z) = t\left(\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu} \circ F_{\mu_t}\right)(z) = t\left(\phi_{\delta_{\widetilde{\beta}} \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\widetilde{\rho}]]^{\boxplus \widetilde{\gamma}}} \circ F_{\mu_t}\right)(z) = \widetilde{\beta} t + \widetilde{\gamma} t\left(G_{\widetilde{\rho}} \circ F_{\mu_t}\right)(z)$$

and so

$$G_{\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]}(z) = G_{\widetilde{\rho}} \circ F_{\mu_t}$$

and

$$F_{\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]}(z) = F_{\widetilde{\rho}} \circ F_{\mu_t}.$$

Therefore

$$F^{-1}_{\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]}(z) = \left(F_{\widetilde{\rho}} \circ F_{\mu_t}\right)^{-1}(z) = \left(F^{-1}_{\mu_t} \circ F^{-1}_{\widetilde{\rho}}\right)(z) = F^{-1}_{\widetilde{\rho}}(z) + t(\phi_\mu \circ F^{-1}_{\widetilde{\rho}})(z)$$

and so

$$\phi_{\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]}(z) = \phi_{\widetilde{\rho}}(z) + t(\phi_\mu \circ F^{-1}_{\widetilde{\rho}})(z).$$

The last claims in part (c) follow from Corollary 7 and Lemma 6 below. □

Question. Let $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}$, $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$, and suppose that $\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$ is defined for all $t \geq 0$, in the sense that $\phi_{\widetilde{\rho}} + t\phi_\tau$ is a Voiculescu transform of a positive measure.

(a) Is $\tau \boxright \widetilde{\rho}$ necessarily in $\mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$?
(b) Is $\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$ necessarily equal to

$$\nu \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus(p+t)} = \nu \boxplus \left(\tau^{\boxplus p}\right)^{\boxplus(1+t/p)}$$

for some $p \geq 0$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\tau^{\boxplus(p+t)} \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $t \geq 0$, and $\widetilde{\rho} = \nu \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus p}$? Note that (b) implies (a).

Lemma 4. *The subordination distribution has the following properties.*

$$(\mu \boxplus \nu) \boxright \rho = (\mu \boxright \rho) \boxplus (\nu \boxright \rho).$$
$$\sigma \boxright \mu = \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\mu]].$$
$$\mu \boxright \delta_0 = \mu.$$
$$\mu \boxright \mu = \mathbb{B}[\mu].$$
$$\delta_a \boxright \mu = \delta_a.$$

There is a corresponding list of properties for $\Phi[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Proof. All these properties follow immediately from

$$\phi_{\mu \boxright \nu}(z) = (\phi_\mu \circ F_\nu)(z).$$

□

In a number of the following examples, free convolution semigroups $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$ will have finite variance, and so will be associated with canonical triples (β, γ, ρ).

Example 1. Let $\tau = \sigma_{\beta,\gamma} = \delta_\beta \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus\gamma}$ be a semicircular distribution, and $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}$. Then in the context of part (a) of the theorem,

$$\mu = (\delta_\beta \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus\gamma}) \boxright \widetilde{\rho} = \delta_\beta \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\widetilde{\rho}]]^{\boxplus\gamma},$$

and so $\rho = \widetilde{\rho}$. Therefore

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta}} \uplus \Phi[\rho \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus t}_{\beta,\gamma}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t} = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t - (\widetilde{\gamma}/\gamma)\beta t} \uplus \mu_t^{\uplus(\widetilde{\gamma}/\gamma)}.$$

In particular, for $\widetilde{\beta} = \beta$ and $\widetilde{\gamma} = \gamma$, it follows that $\widetilde{\mu}_t = \mu_t$ form a free convolution semigroup, and we are in the Belinschi-Nica context of Proposition 1. An arbitrary two-state free convolution semigroup with $\widetilde{\mu}_t = \mu_t$ and finite variance arises in this way.

Example 2. Let $\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_0$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$. Then in the context of part (a) of the theorem, $\mu = \tau \boxminus \delta_0 = \tau$. Therefore

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t} \uplus \Phi[\mu_t]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t}.$$

These are the (distributions of) two-state free Brownian motions (in [Ans11b], they were called algebraic two-state free Brownian motions). An arbitrary two-state free convolution semigroup with $\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \mu_t$ arises in this way.

Example 3. Let $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\tau = \delta_\beta$. Then in the context of part (a) of the theorem, $\mu_t = \delta_{\beta t}$ and

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t} \uplus \Phi[\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \delta_{\beta t}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t}.$$

For $\beta = 0$, this is a Boolean convolution semigroup, and an arbitrary Boolean convolution semigroup (with finite variance) arises in this way. For general β and measures all of whose moments are finite, these are precisely the families constructed in Proposition 7 of [AM12].

On the other hand, if $\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t}$, for any free convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$ the measures $(\delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t}, \mu_t)$ form a two-state free convolution semigroup.

Example 4. Let $p > 0$, $\omega \in \mathcal{P}$, and $\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_u \boxplus \omega$. Then in the context of part (b) of the theorem,

$$\mu = ((\delta_{-u} \boxplus \widetilde{\rho}) \boxminus \widetilde{\rho})^{\boxplus (1/p)} = \delta_{-(u/p)} \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\widetilde{\rho}]^{\boxplus (1/p)} = \mathbb{B}[\delta_{-(u/p)} \uplus \widetilde{\rho}^{\uplus (1/p)}].$$

Now suppose that μ has finite variance, so that

$$\mu = \delta_\beta \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\Phi[\rho]]^{\boxplus \gamma} = \mathbb{B}[\delta_\beta \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma}].$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_{\beta p + u} \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \gamma p}.$$

So for

$$p = \widetilde{c}/\gamma, \quad u = \widetilde{b} - \beta \widetilde{c}/\gamma$$

we have

$$\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_{\widetilde{b}} \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \widetilde{c}} = \delta_{\widetilde{b} - \beta \widetilde{c}/\gamma} \boxplus \omega$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t} \uplus \Phi[\delta_{\widetilde{b} - \beta \widetilde{c}/\gamma} \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus (1 + (\gamma/\widetilde{c}) t)}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t}.$$

If $\tau = \omega^{\boxplus (\gamma/\widetilde{c})} \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_{\widetilde{b} - \beta \widetilde{c}/\gamma} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus (\widetilde{c}/\gamma)}$ and

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{\widetilde{\beta} t} \uplus \Phi[\delta_{\widetilde{b} - \beta \widetilde{c}/\gamma} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus ((\widetilde{c}/\gamma) + t)}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t}.$$

An arbitrary two-state free convolution semigroup with $\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\rho}] = \rho$ arises in this way.

Remark 1. A free Meixner distribution $\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}$ with parameters $b, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $c + \gamma, \gamma \geq 0$ is the probability measure with Jacobi parameters

$$J(\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta, & b + \beta, & b + \beta, & b + \beta, & \ldots \\ \gamma, & c + \gamma, & c + \gamma, & c + \gamma, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$

For other values of c, γ, these Jacobi parameters determine a unital, linear, but not positive definite functional. Normalized free Meixner distributions $\mu_{b,c} = \mu_{b,c,0,1}$ have mean zero and variance 1, and are positive for $c \geq -1$.

Free Meixner distributions form a two-parameter semigroup with respect to $\boxplus$:

$$\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma} \boxplus \mu_{b,c,\beta',\gamma'} = \mu_{b,c,\beta+\beta',\gamma+\gamma'},$$

see Definition 2 of [AM12]. In particular,

$$\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t} = \mu_{b,c,\beta t,\gamma t}.$$

Also,

$$\mathbb{B}_t[\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}] = \mu_{b+\beta t,c+\gamma t,\beta,\gamma}.$$

Lemma 5. *The subordination function of two Meixner distributions with special parameters*

$$\mu_{b,c,\beta',\gamma'} \boxvdash \mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma} = \mu_{b+\beta,c+\gamma,\beta',\gamma'}$$

is again a free Meixner distribution.

Proof. Using Lemma 4 and the properties from the preceding remark, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{b,c,\beta',\gamma'} \boxvdash \mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma} &= \left(\delta_{\beta'-\beta\gamma'/\gamma} \boxplus \mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus(\gamma'/\gamma)}\right) \boxvdash \mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma} = \delta_{\beta'-\beta\gamma'/\gamma} \boxplus \left(\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma} \boxvdash \mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}\right)^{\boxplus(\gamma'/\gamma)} \\ &= \delta_{\beta'-\beta\gamma'/\gamma} \boxplus \mathbb{B}[\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma}]^{\boxplus(\gamma'/\gamma)} = \delta_{\beta'-\beta\gamma'/\gamma} \boxplus \mu_{b+\beta,c+\gamma,\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus(\gamma'/\gamma)} \\ &= \delta_{\beta'-\beta\gamma'/\gamma} \boxplus \mu_{b+\beta,c+\gamma,\beta\gamma'/\gamma,\gamma'} = \mu_{b+\beta,c+\gamma,\beta',\gamma'}.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 2. For readers familiar with the monotone convolution $\rhd$, since $\nu \rhd (\mu \boxvdash \nu) = \mu \boxplus \nu$, the preceding lemma implies the identity

$$\mu_{b,c,\beta,\gamma} \rhd \mu_{b+\beta,c+\gamma,\beta',\gamma'} = \mu_{b,c,\beta+\beta',\gamma+\gamma'}.$$

This result can also be proved directly using the F-transforms, but the computation is rather surprising. Since $\mu_{0,0,\beta,\gamma}$ are semicircular, $\mu_{b,0,\beta,\gamma}$ free Poisson, $\mu_{b,-\gamma,\beta,\gamma}$ Bernoulli, and $\mu_{0,-\gamma,0,2\gamma}$ arcsine distributions, we get various identities between them involving the monotone convolution. For example,

$$\mu_{b,c} \rhd \mu_{b,c+1} = \mu_{b,c}^{\boxplus 2},$$

which for $b = 0$, $c = -1$ says Bernoulli $\rhd$ Semicircle = Arcsine. See [Mło10] for related results.

Example 5. As a particular case of Example 4, for $\widetilde{c} > 0$ and $c \geq 0$, let $\rho = \delta_b \boxplus \sigma^{\boxplus c}$ and $\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_{\widetilde{b}} \uplus \Phi[\rho]^{\uplus \widetilde{c}}$. In other words,

$$J(\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} b, & b, & b, & b, & \ldots \\ c, & c, & c, & c, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$

and

$$J(\widetilde{\rho}) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{b}, & b, & b, & b, & \ldots \\ \widetilde{c}, & c, & c, & c, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\widetilde{\rho} = \delta_{\widetilde{b}-\beta\widetilde{c}/\gamma} \boxplus \omega$ for

$$J(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta\widetilde{c}/\gamma, & \beta\widetilde{c}/\gamma + b - \widetilde{b}, & \beta\widetilde{c}/\gamma + b - \widetilde{b}, & \beta\widetilde{c}/\gamma + b - \widetilde{b}, & \ldots \\ \widetilde{c}, & c, & c, & c, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$

So both $\widetilde{\rho}$ and ω are free Meixner distributions, and as a result, we can compute their free convolution,

$$J(\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \omega^{\boxplus(\gamma/\widetilde{c})t}) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{b} + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \ldots \\ \widetilde{c} + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding two-state free convolution semigroup is $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t)\}$ with

$$J(\widetilde{\mu}_t) = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\beta} t, & \widetilde{b} + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \ldots \\ \widetilde{\gamma} t, & \widetilde{c} + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$J(\mu_t) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta t, & b + \beta t, & b + \beta t, & \ldots \\ \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & c + \gamma t, & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are precisely the two-state free Meixner semigroups from [AM12]. Finally, for $\tau = \omega^{\boxplus(\gamma/\widetilde{c})}$,

$$J(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta, & \beta + b - \widetilde{b}, & \beta + b - \widetilde{b}, & \beta + b - \widetilde{b}, & \ldots \\ \gamma, & \gamma + c - \widetilde{c}, & \gamma + c - \widetilde{c}, & \gamma + c - \widetilde{c}, & \ldots \end{pmatrix},$$

so $\tau \in \mathcal{P}$ for $\gamma + c \geq \widetilde{c}$, and $\tau \in \mathcal{ID}^{\boxplus}$ for $c \geq \widetilde{c}$.

Proposition 3. *Let $(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t)$ be a general two-state free convolution semigroup. Then we have two evolution equations*

$$\partial_t F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} = \phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) - \phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) - \phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\partial_t F_{\mu_t} = -\phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\mu_t}.$$

Proof. The second equation is standard, see equation (3.18) in [VDN92]. Using (6),

$$\partial_t F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} + \phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) + t \phi'_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_t F_{\mu_t} = \partial_t F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} + \phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) - t \phi'_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) \phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\mu_t} = 0$$

and

$$\partial_z F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} + t \phi'_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\mu_t} = 1.$$

Plugging in, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} &= -\phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) + \frac{1 - \partial_z F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}}{\phi'_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\mu_t}} \phi'_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) \phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\mu_t} \\ &= \phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) - \phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu}(F_{\mu_t}) - \phi_\mu(F_{\mu_t}) \partial_z F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 5. The functional L_t is the *generator* of the family $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\}$ of functionals at time t, with domain $\mathcal{D}$, if for any $f \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\langle L_t, f \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \mu_t, f \rangle.$$

Proposition 4. *Let $(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t)$ be a general two-state free convolution semigroup with finite variance, with canonical triples $\left\{ (\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\rho}), (\beta, \gamma, \rho) \right\}$. Denote $\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \widetilde{\nu}_t$, $\mathcal{J}[\mu_t] = \nu_t$. Note that*

$$\nu_t = \rho \boxplus \sigma_{\beta,\gamma}^{\boxplus t},$$

and for measures covered in Theorem 2, $\widetilde{\nu}_t = \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$.

Then the generators of the families $\{\widetilde{\mu}_t\}$ and $\{\mu_t\}$ with domain

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{Span}\left(\left\{ \frac{1}{z - x} : z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \right\} \right)$$

are, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{L}_t &= \widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\nu}_t)\partial^2 - \gamma(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \nu_t)\partial^2 \\ &\quad + (\widetilde{\beta} - \beta)(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t)\partial + \gamma(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \nu_t)(\partial_x \otimes 1)\partial + \beta\widetilde{\mu}_t\partial_x\end{aligned}$$

and

$$L_t = \gamma(\mu_t \otimes \nu_t)(\partial_x \otimes 1)\partial + \beta\mu_t\partial_x.$$

Here $\partial : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is the difference quotient operation,

$$(\partial f)(x, y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}.$$

Proof. Note first that

$$(\phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\mu} \circ F_{\mu_t})(z) = \frac{1}{t}(\phi_{\widetilde{\mu}_t,\mu_t} \circ F_{\mu_t})(z) = \frac{1}{t}(z - F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}(z)) = \widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}G_{\widetilde{\nu}_t}(z)$$

and similarly $\phi_\mu \circ F_{\mu_t} = \beta + \gamma G_{\nu_t}$. Therefore in this case, equation (8) says

$$\partial_t F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} = \beta + \gamma G_{\nu_t} - \widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}G_{\widetilde{\nu}_t} - (\beta + \gamma G_{\nu_t})\partial_z F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\partial_t G_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} = -(\beta + \gamma G_{\nu_t} - \widetilde{\beta} + \widetilde{\gamma}G_{\widetilde{\nu}_t})G^2_{\widetilde{\mu}_t} - (\beta + \gamma G_{\nu_t})\partial_z G_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}.$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t, \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle &= -\gamma \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \nu_t, \partial^2 \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle - \beta \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t, \partial \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \widetilde{\gamma} \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\nu}_t, \partial^2 \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle + \widetilde{\beta} \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t, \partial \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \nu_t, (\partial_x \otimes 1)\partial \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle + \beta \left\langle \widetilde{\mu}_t, \partial_x \frac{1}{z - x} \right\rangle\end{aligned}$$

The formula for the generator $\widetilde{L}_t$ of $\{\widetilde{\mu}_t\}$ on the span of such functions follows. The formula for L_t follows by setting $\widetilde{\mu}_t = \mu_t$. □

Remark 3. Setting $t = 0$ in the preceding proposition, $\mu_0 = \widetilde{\mu}_0 = \delta_0$, $\widetilde{\nu}_0 = \widetilde{\rho}$, and $\nu_0 = \rho$. Thus

$$L_0 f = \gamma \langle \delta_0 \otimes \rho, (\partial_x \otimes 1)\partial f \rangle + \beta \langle \delta_0, \partial_x f \rangle = \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y) - f(0) - yf'(0)}{y^2} \, d\rho(y) + \beta f'(0)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{L}_0 f &= \widetilde{\gamma} \left\langle \delta_0 \otimes \delta_0 \otimes \widetilde{\rho}, \partial^2 f \right\rangle - \gamma \left\langle \delta_0 \otimes \delta_0 \otimes \rho, \partial^2 f \right\rangle + (\widetilde{\beta} - \beta) \langle \delta_0 \otimes \delta_0, \partial f \rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma \langle \delta_0 \otimes \rho, (\partial_x \otimes 1)\partial f \rangle + \beta \langle \delta_0, \partial_x f \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y) - f(0) - yf'(0)}{y^2} \, d(\widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{\rho} - \gamma\rho)(y) + (\widetilde{\beta} - \beta)f'(0) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y) - f(0) - yf'(0)}{y^2} \, d(\gamma\rho)(y) + \beta f'(0) \\ &= \widetilde{\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y) - f(0) - yf'(0)}{y^2} \, d\widetilde{\rho}(y) + \widetilde{\beta} f'(0)\end{aligned}$$

has exactly the same form as in Proposition 3 of [Ans11a]; see also Remark 11 of that paper.

Remark 4. Boolean evolution corresponds to $\beta = \gamma = 0$, $\mu_t = \delta_0$. Then

$$\partial_t F_{\widetilde{\mu}_t}(z) = -\phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\delta_0}(z).$$

In fact, since $\phi_{\widetilde{\mu},\delta_0}(z) = z - F_{\widetilde{\mu}}(z)$, this is easy to see directly. It follows that in this case,

$$\widetilde{L}_t = \widetilde{\gamma}(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\nu}_t)\partial^2 + \widetilde{\beta}(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t)\partial.$$

For $t = 0$, we again get the formula from the preceding remark.

Similarly, distributions of analytic two-state free Brownian motions correspond to $\widetilde{\gamma} = \gamma = 1$, $\widetilde{\beta} = 0$, $\widetilde{\mu} = \Phi[\mu]$ and $\mu = \delta_\beta \boxplus \sigma$, so that $\widetilde{\nu}_t = \nu_t = \mu_t$. Then the generator formula reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{L}_t &= -\beta(\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t)\partial + (\widetilde{\mu}_t \otimes \mu_t)(\partial_x \otimes 1)\partial + \beta\widetilde{\mu}_t\partial_x \\ &= \widetilde{\mu}_t\Big(-\beta(1 \otimes \widetilde{\mu}_t)\partial + \partial_x(1 \otimes \mu_t)\partial + \beta\partial_x\Big),\end{aligned}$$

consistent with the result of Proposition 24 in [Ans11a].

4. BACKGROUND II

4.1. Multivariate polynomials.

The number $d \in \mathbb{N}$ will be fixed throughout the remainder of the article. Denote

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$$

a d-tuple of variables, and similarly for $\mathbf{z}$, etc. Let

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle$$

be the algebra of polynomials in d non-commuting variables. For $k \geq 1$ and

$$\vec{u} = (u(1), u(2), \dots, u(k)) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^k$$

a multi-index, denote

$$x_{\vec{u}} = x_{u(1)} x_{u(2)} \dots x_{u(k)}.$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{D}_{alg}(d) = \left\{ \mu : \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d \rangle \to \mathbb{C} \text{ unital, linear functionals} \right\},$$

For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the element $\delta_\beta \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$ is

$$\delta_\beta[x_{\vec{u}}] = \beta_{\vec{u}}.$$

4.2. Free, Boolean, and two-state free convolutions.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$. Denote its moment generating function by

$$M^\mu(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\vec{u}} \mu[x_{\vec{u}}] z_{\vec{u}}.$$

The (combinatorial) R-transform R^μ of μ is determined by

$$R^\mu\Big(z_1(1 + M^\mu(\mathbf{z})), \dots, z_d(1 + M^\mu(\mathbf{z}))\Big) = M^\mu(\mathbf{z}), \tag{9}$$

see Lecture 16 of [NS06]. The free convolution of two functionals $\mu \boxplus \nu$ is determined by the equality

$$R^{\mu \boxplus \nu} = R^\mu + R^\nu.$$

In this algebraic context, any functional is $\boxplus$-infinitely divisible.

Similarly, the η-transform η^μ is

$$\eta^\mu(\mathbf{z}) = (1 + M^\mu(\mathbf{z}))^{-1} M^\mu(\mathbf{z})$$

(for a multivariate power series F, F^{-1} will denote its multiplicative inverse). The Boolean convolution of two functionals $\mu \uplus \nu$ is determined by the equality

$$\eta^{\mu \uplus \nu} = \eta^\mu + \eta^\nu.$$

Finally, for $\widetilde{\mu}, \mu \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$, the two-state R-transform $R^{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu}$ is determined by

$$\eta^{\widetilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{z}) = R^{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu}\Big(z_1(1 + M^\mu(\mathbf{z})), \ldots, z_d(1 + M^\mu(\mathbf{z}))\Big)(1 + M^\mu(\mathbf{z}))^{-1},$$

and the two-state free convolution of two pairs of functionals

$$(\rho, \mu \boxplus \nu) = (\widetilde{\mu}, \mu) \boxplus_c (\widetilde{\nu}, \nu)$$

is determined by the equality

$$R^{\rho, \mu \boxplus \nu} = R^{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu} + R^{\widetilde{\nu}, \nu}.$$

See Section 2.5 of [Ans10].

If $d = 1$ and μ is a compactly supported probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$, it can be identified with an element of $\mathcal{D}_{alg}(1)$. In this case, the complex function transforms from Section 2 have power series expansions related to the power series from this section by

$$1 + M^\mu(z) = \frac{1}{z} G_\mu\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad R^\mu(z) = z \phi_\mu\left(\frac{1}{z}\right),$$

$$\eta^\mu(z) = \frac{1}{z} - F_\mu\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad R^{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu}(z) = z \phi_{\widetilde{\mu}, \mu}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right).$$

4.3. **Transformations.** For $\nu \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$, the functional $\Phi[\nu]$ is determined by

$$\eta^{\Phi[\nu]}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^d z_i (1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{z})) z_i.$$

See [BN09] and [Ans09].

In the algebraic context, $\mathbb{B}$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$ to itself determined by

$$R^{\mathbb{B}[\mu]} = \eta^\mu.$$

Finally, for $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$, the multivariate subordination distribution $\mu \boxed{\vdash} \nu \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$ is defined via

$$R^{\mu \boxed{\vdash} \nu}(\mathbf{z}) = R^\mu\Big(z_1\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{z})\right), \ldots, z_d\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{z})\right)\Big)\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{z})\right)^{-1}. \tag{10}$$

See Definition 1.1 in [Nic09].

5. MULTI-VARIATE, ALGEBRAIC RESULTS

The following proposition is the analog of the single-variable relation $G_{\mu\boxplus\nu}(z) = G_\nu(F_{\mu \boxvdash \nu}(z))$.

Proposition 5. *The subordination distribution $\mu \boxvdash \nu$ satisfies*

$$1 + M^{\mu\boxplus\nu}(\mathbf{z}) = \Big(1 + M^{\mu \boxvdash \nu}(\mathbf{z})\Big) \left(1 + M^\nu \left(z_1(1 + M^{\mu \boxvdash \nu}(\mathbf{z})), \ldots, z_d(1 + M^{\mu \boxvdash \nu}(\mathbf{z}))\right)\right).$$

Consequently, for a fixed ν, the map $\mu \mapsto \mu \boxvdash \nu$ is a bijection on $\mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$.

Proof. Note first that the equation

$$1 + M^{\mu\boxplus\nu}(\mathbf{z}) = \Big(1 + M^\lambda(\mathbf{z})\Big)\Big(1 + M^\nu\Big(z_1(1 + M^\lambda(\mathbf{z})), \ldots, z_d(1 + M^\lambda(\mathbf{z}))\Big)\Big)$$

has a unique solution λ. Indeed,

$$(\mu \boxplus \nu)[x_{\vec{u}}] = \lambda[x_{\vec{u}}] + \nu[x_{\vec{u}}] + P_{\vec{u}}\left(\lambda[x_{\vec{v}}], \nu[x_{\vec{v}}] : |\vec{v}| < |\vec{u}|\right)$$

for some polynomial $P_{\vec{u}}$.

Denote $w_i = z_i\left(1 + M^\lambda(\mathbf{z})\right)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\mu\boxplus\nu}(\mathbf{z}) &= R^{\mu\boxplus\nu}\Big(z_1\left(1 + M^{\mu\boxplus\nu}(\mathbf{z})\right), \ldots, z_d\left(1 + M^{\mu\boxplus\nu}(\mathbf{z})\right)\Big) \\ &= R^{\mu\boxplus\nu}\Big(w_1\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right), \ldots, w_d\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right)\Big) \\ &= R^{\mu}\Big(w_1\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right), \ldots, w_d\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right)\Big) + M^\nu(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\mu\boxplus\nu}(\mathbf{z}) &= \left(1 + M^\lambda(\mathbf{z})\right)\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right) - 1 \\ &= M^\lambda(\mathbf{z})\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right) + M^\nu(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= R^\lambda(\mathbf{w})\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right) + M^\nu(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equations,

$$R^{\mu}\Big(w_1\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right), \ldots, w_d\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right)\Big) = R^\lambda(\mathbf{w})\left(1 + M^\nu(\mathbf{w})\right).$$

Comparing with equation (10), we see that $\lambda = \mu \boxvdash \nu$.

The equation in the proposition shows that given ν and λ, $\mu \boxplus \nu$, and consequently μ, is uniquely determined. Conversely, the uniqueness statement above shows that given ν and μ, $\mu \boxvdash \nu$ is uniquely determined. $\square$

In the multi-variate, algebraic context, all the results in Lemma 4 were proved in [Nic09], see Remark 1.2, Theorem 1.8, equation (5.7), and Proposition 5.3. We will use them without proof.

Proposition 6. *Let $\widetilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\widetilde{\gamma} > 0$, $\widetilde{\rho} \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$, and $\{\mu_t : t \geq 0\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$ a free convolution semigroup. Define a two-state free convolution semigroup $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ by*

$$R^{\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t}(\mathbf{z}) = t\widetilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{z} + t\widetilde{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i\left(1 + M^{\widetilde{\rho}}(\mathbf{z})\right) z_i$$

Define $\tau \in \mathcal{D}_{alg}(d)$ *via*

$$\mu = \mu_1 = \tau \boxright \widetilde{\rho}.$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{t\widetilde{\beta}} \uplus \Phi[\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t}.$$

Proof. By the preceding proposition, for $\nu = \tau^{\boxplus t}$,

$$1 + M^{\nu \boxplus \widetilde{\rho}}(\mathbf{z}) = \left(1 + M^{\nu \boxright \widetilde{\rho}}(\mathbf{z})\right) \left(1 + M^{\widetilde{\rho}} \left(z_1(1 + M^{\nu \boxright \widetilde{\rho}}(\mathbf{z})), \ldots, z_d(1 + M^{\nu \boxright \widetilde{\rho}}(\mathbf{z}))\right)\right).$$

Since

$$\mu_t = \tau^{\boxplus t} \boxright \widetilde{\rho} = \nu \boxright \widetilde{\rho},$$

this equation says that

$$1 + M^{\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}}(\mathbf{z}) = (1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})) \left(1 + M^{\widetilde{\rho}}\Big(z_1 \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right), \ldots, z_d \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right)\Big)\right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{\widetilde{\mu}_t}(\mathbf{z}) &= R^{\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t}\Big(z_1 \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right), \ldots, z_d \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right)\Big) \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right)^{-1} \\
&= t\widetilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{z} + t\widetilde{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right) \left(1 + M^{\widetilde{\rho}}\Big(z_1 \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right), \ldots, z_d \left(1 + M^{\mu_t}(\mathbf{z})\right)\Big)\right) z_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these two equations, it follows that

$$\eta^{\widetilde{\mu}_t}(\mathbf{z}) = t\widetilde{\beta} \cdot \mathbf{z} + t\widetilde{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_i \left(1 + M^{\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}}(\mathbf{z})\right) z_i$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mu}_t = \delta_{t\widetilde{\beta}} \uplus \Phi[\widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}]^{\uplus \widetilde{\gamma} t}. \qquad \square$$

Corollary 7. *Let* $\{(\widetilde{\mu}_t, \mu_t) : t \geq 0\}$ *be a two-state free convolution semigroup of compactly supported probability measures with non-zero variance. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t] = \widetilde{\rho} \boxplus \tau^{\boxplus t}$$

for some $\widetilde{\rho}$ *a compactly supported probability measure, and* τ *a unital, not necessarily positive linear functional with non-negative variance.*

Proof. The result follows by applying the preceding proposition in the case $d = 1$, when every compactly supported two-state free convolution semigroup is of the form specified in that proposition. Since for each t,

$$\mathrm{Var}[\widetilde{\rho}] + t\,\mathrm{Var}[\tau] = \mathrm{Var}[\mathcal{J}[\widetilde{\mu}_t]] \geq 0,$$

it follows that $\mathrm{Var}[\tau] \geq 0$. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let* τ *be a unital linear functional with positive variance such that that* $|\tau[x^n]| \leq C^n$ *for some* C. *Then* $\tau^{\boxplus t}$ *is positive definite, and so can be identified with a compactly supported measure, for sufficiently large* t.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that τ has mean 0 and variance 1. By assumption, the moments of τ, and so also its free cumulants, grow no faster than exponentially. Therefore the R-transform of τ can be identified with an analytic function whose power series expansion at zero starts with z. It follows that for sufficiently large t, the R-transform of $D_{1/\sqrt{t}}\tau^{\boxplus t}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 of [BV95]. Applying that theorem, we conclude that $D_{1/\sqrt{t}}\tau^{\boxplus t}$, and so also $\tau^{\boxplus t}$, can be identified with a positive measure. $\square$

REFERENCES

[]Ans09 Michael Anshelevich, *Appell polynomials and their relatives. III. Conditionally free theory*, Illinois J. Math. **53** (2009), no. 1, 39–66. MR2584934

[]Ans10 ______, *Free evolution on algebras with two states*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **638** (2010), 75–101. MR2584934

[]Ans11a ______, *Generators of some non-commutative stochastic processes*, `arXiv:1104.1381 [math.OA]`, 2011.

[]Ans11b ______, *Two-state free Brownian motions*, J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 2, 541–565. MR2584934

[]AM12 Michael Anshelevich and Wojciech Młotkowski, *Semigroups of distributions with linear Jacobi parameters*, accepted for publication by the Journal of Theoretical Probability, 2012. `arXiv:1001.1540 [math.CO]`.

[]BB04 S. T. Belinschi and H. Bercovici, *Atoms and regularity for measures in a partially defined free convolution semigroup*, Math. Z. **248** (2004), no. 4, 665–674. MR2584934

[]BN08 Serban T. Belinschi and Alexandru Nica, *On a remarkable semigroup of homomorphisms with respect to free multiplicative convolution*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 4, 1679–1713. MR2440877 (2009f:46087)

[]BN09 ______, *Free Brownian motion and evolution towards $\boxplus$-infinite divisibility for k-tuples*, Internat. J. Math. **20** (2009), no. 3, 309–338. MR2500073

[]BP99 Hari Bercovici and Vittorino Pata, *Stable laws and domains of attraction in free probability theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), no. 3, 1023–1060, With an appendix by Philippe Biane. MR2500073

[]BV93 Hari Bercovici and Dan Voiculescu, *Free convolution of measures with unbounded support*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), no. 3, 733–773. MR1254116 (95c:46109)

[]BV95 H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu, *Superconvergence to the central limit and failure of the Cramér theorem for free random variables*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **103** (1995), no. 2, 215–222. MR1355057 (96k:46115)

[]Kry07 Anna Dorota Krystek, *Infinite divisibility for the conditionally free convolution*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **10** (2007), no. 4, 499–522. MR2376439 (2009d:46118)

[]Len07 Romuald Lenczewski, *Decompositions of the free additive convolution*, J. Funct. Anal. **246** (2007), no. 2, 330–365. MR2321046 (2008d:28009)

[]Maa92 Hans Maassen, *Addition of freely independent random variables*, J. Funct. Anal. **106** (1992), no. 2, 409–438. MR1165862 (94g:46069)

[]Mło10 Wojciech Młotkowski, *Fuss-Catalan numbers in noncommutative probability*, Doc. Math. **15** (2010), 939–955. MR1165862 (94g:46069)

[]Nic09 Alexandru Nica, *Multi-variable subordination distributions for free additive convolution*, J. Funct. Anal. **257** (2009), no. 2, 428–463. MR1165862 (94g:46069)

[]NS96 Alexandru Nica and Roland Speicher, *On the multiplication of free N-tuples of noncommutative random variables*, Amer. J. Math. **118** (1996), no. 4, 799–837. MR1165862 (94g:46069)

[]NS06 ______, *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 335, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR2266879 (2008k:46198)

[]VDN92 D. V. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema, and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992, A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups. MR1217253 (94c:46133)

[]Wan11 Jiun-Chau Wang, *Limit theorems for additive conditionally free convolution*, Canad. J. Math. **63** (2011), no. 1, 222–240. MR1217253 (94c:46133)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843-3368
E-mail address: `manshel@math.tamu.edu`